

3-D Laser Data Collection and Analysis of Road Surface Texture

Humaira Zahir, Mustaque Hossain, Rick Miller

ROAD PROFILE USERS' GROUP MEETING, 2015 RALEIGH, NC

Presentation Organization

- Introduction

Ę

- Problem Statement
- Objective
- Tests Performed
- Results and Discussions
- Conclusions

Introduction

- Good pavement condition is an essential prerequisite for a safer highway
- Road surface friction minimizes skidding and reduces roadway crashes
- Skid resistance highly depends on the pavement texture characteristics
- Estimation of texture characteristics could give useful information about the condition of the roadway



Problem Statement

- ASTM Locked Wheel Skid Trailer (LWST) is universally used for determining friction characteristics
- LWST measures pavement skid number
- Driving speed should be 40 mile/hour or 55 mile/hour
- Maintaining speed is difficult especially on some curves and ramps



Problem Statement

- 3-D Laser Crack Measurement System (LCMS) can be a viable alternative to LWST in some locations
- LCMS measures Mean Texture Depth (MTD) of pavements
- LCMS offered the possibility to measure pavement texture more precisely

Objectives

- To assess whether skid resistance on different KDOT highways can be assessed using LCMS measurements
- To find a suitable correlation between skid number and texture depth
- To replace the traditional ASTM skid trailer by LCMS for routine skid monitoring



Test Sections

Four KDOT (Kansas Department of Transportation) Highways were selected for investigation

- K-18 Westbound/ I-70 Westbound On-Ramp (Geary County)
- K-177 Southbound/ I-70 Westbound On-Ramp (Geary County)
- I-70 Westbound Off-Ramp/ K-177 Northbound (Geary County)
- K-87 (North of K-9, Nemaha County)

Equipment used for Data Collection

Pavement friction and texture data were collected using four different instruments:

- ASTM Locked Wheel Skid Trailer (LWST)
- 3-D Laser Crack Measurement System (LCMS)
- Circular Texture Meter (CTM)
- Dynamic Friction Tester (DFT)



Locked Wheel Skid Trailer

- Measures steady state friction force
- A locked wheel is dragged under constant load at a constant speed over a wet pavement
- Friction is determined from resulting force and reported as Skid Number (SN)
- Higher SN represents greater skid resistance

Locked Wheel Skid Trailer

- Ribbed and Smooth tires are used for measuring friction
- Operated at near highway speed
- Can measure large sections of roadway without lane closures



Laser Crack Measurement System

- Use of high speed camera, custom optics and laser line projectors
- Acquire both 2-D images and high-resolution 3-D profiles of the road
- Can be operated under all types of lighting condition
- Various pavement types can be measured at survey speed
- Data analysis software analyze all collected data

KDOT Laser Crack Measurement System





Circular Texture Meter

- Laser-based device for measuring Mean Profile Depth (MPD) at a static location
- There is a Charged Couple Device (CCD) laser sensor in the bottom of the instrument



Ę

Circular Texture Meter (CTM)

- Measures the profile of a circle having 35.12 inch circumference

- Divides the circumference into eight segments
- Average Mean Profile Depth (MPD) is determined for each of the segments
- Reported MPD is the average of all eight segment depths

Ę

Dynamic Friction Tester (DFT)

- Measures frictional characteristics of roadway
- For Friction Number (FN) calculation, needs to put over the same area where CT Meter measurement was taken
- -The disk at the bottom of the machine consists of 3 rubber slider





Dynamic Friction Tester

- During rotation, the disk measures the torque generated

- Torque is monitored and recorded at 20,40, 60 and 80 km/hr

- Both CT meter and DF tester readings are required to calculate the Friction Number

K-18 WB to I-70 WB

Ę



Results and Discussions

K-18 Westbound I-70 Westbound On Ramp (HFS placed in Sep, 2014)

Pavemen t Type	3D laser MTD (mm)			Skid Number (Before HFS)		Skid Number (After HFS)		Dovomont	3D laser MTD (mm)		
	Section	Before HFS (June 2014)	After HFS (April 2015)	Grooved Tire (Jun 2014)	Smooth Tire (Jun 2014)	Grooved Tire (Apr 2015)	Smooth Tire (Apr 2015)	Туре	Section	Before HFS (June 2014)	After HFS (April 2015)
	F 00001	0.8094	1.0798			78.54	71.27		F 00019	0.6222	0.9629
	F 00002	0.8864	1.0828					Concrete	F 00020	0.6702	0.9884
	F 00003	0.9001	1.1359						F 00021	0.5781	1.0068
	F 00004	0.7963	1.0332						F 00022	0.6246	1.0298
	F 00005	0.8089	1.0127	40.16					F 00023	0.7085	1.0421
	F 00006	0.8055	0.9989						F 00024	0.7181	1.0308
Asphalt	F 00007	0.7808	1.0037		33.65				F 00025	0.7046	1.0813
	F 00008	0.9467	1.0099						F 00026	0.6937	1.0828
	F 00009	0.9044	0.9307					Result	Mean	0.67	(1.03)
	F 00010	0.8331	0.8978						SD	0.048	0.039
	F 00011	0.8458	0.9821						COV (%)	7.16	3.82
	F 00012	0.8665	0.9793								
	Mean	0.85	(1.01)								
Result	SD	0.050	0.063								
	COV (%)	5.86	6.20								

Ē

K-18 Westbound I-70 Westbound On Ramp (HFS placed in Sep, 2014)

	2		n m)	ASTM Locked Wheel Skid Trailer SN						
Dovomont	3-1	D laser MID (I	[]]]])	Skid Number (Before HFS) Skid Number (After F						
Туре	Section	Before HFS (June 2014)	After HFS (April 2015)	Grooved Tire (Jun 2014)	Smooth Tire (Jun 2014)	Grooved Tire (Apr 2015)	Smooth Tire (Apr 2015)			
	F 00018	0.6528			33.65	78.54				
	F 00019	0.6222	0.9629							
	F 00020	0.6702	0.9884							
	F 00021	0.5781	1.0068							
Concrete	F 00022	0.6246	1.0298	40.16			71.27			
	F 00023	0.7085	1.0421							
	F 00024	0.7181	1.0308							
	F 00025	0.7046	1.0813							
	F 00026	0.6937	1.0828							
	Mean	0.66	1.03							
Result	SD	0.045	0.039							
	COV (%)	6.79	3.82							

Ē

K-18 Westbound I-70 Westbound On Ramp (HFS placed in Sep, 2014)

	Section	CTM MPD (mm)		Section	CTM MPD (mm)
Pavement Type	(20 ft c/c)	After HFS (April 2015)	Pavement Type	(20 ft c/c)	After HFS (April 2015)
	1	1.44		1	0.99
	2	1.26		2	0.92
	3	1.35		3	1.06
	4	1.25		4	1.29
	5	1.49		5	1.22
Asphalt	6	1.28	Concrete	6	1.09
	7	1.19		7	1.04
	8	1.29		8	1.01
	9	1.21		9	1.22
	10	1.12			
	11	1.18			
	Mean	(1.29)		Mean	(1.09)
Result	SD	0.076		SD	0.117
	COV (%)	5.91		COV (%)	10.67

Ę

K-18 Westbound I-70 Westbound On Ramp (HFS placed in Sep, 2014)



K-177 SB to I-70 WB Ramp





Results and Discussions

K-177 Southbound and I-70 Westbound On- Ramp (HFS placed in Sep, 2014)

	3-D losor MTD (mm)			Skid Number (Before		Skid Number (After			3 D losor MTD (mm)		
Dovomont	J-D		(11111)	HFS)		HI	FS)	Dovomont	3-D laser WITD (IIIII)		
Type		Before HFS (June 2014)	After HFS	Grooved Tire (Jun	Smooth	Grooved	Smooth	th Type 5)	Section Befor	Boforo UES	After HFS
Туре	Section		(April		Tire (Jun	Tire (Apr	Tire (Apr			$\frac{\text{Defote fifs}}{(\text{Jupp} 2014)}$	(April
			2015)	2014)	2014)	2015)	2015)			(June 2014)	2015)
	F 00001	1.1169	1.0828		32.4	82.02	65.12	Concrete	F 00012	0.8534	1.0359
	F 00002	1.1296	0.9117						F 00013	0.8716	1.1763
	F 00003	1.0925	0.8994						F 00014	0.6294	1.0993
	F 00004	1.1945	0.8759	43.39					F 00015	0.6414	1.0191
	F 00005	1.1639	0.8909						F 00016	0.6043	
Asphalt	F 00006	1.0878	0.8757						F 00017	0.5566	
	F 00007	1.1635	0.8478						F 00018	0.4638	
	F 00008	1.1164	0.9094					Result	Mean	0.66	1.08
	F 00009	1.08	0.9483						SD	0.139	0.062
	F 00010	1.1245	0.9163						COV (%)	21.1	5.71
	F 00011	1.0134	0.9803								
	Mean	(1.12)	0.92								
Result	SD	0.047	0.061								
	COV (%)	4.2	6.66								

Results and Discussions

K-177 Southbound and I-70 Westbound On- Ramp (HFS placed in Sep, 2014)

Dovomont Type	Section	CTM MPD (mm)	DFT (μ)	FN	
Pavement Type	(20 ft c/c)	After HFS (April 2015	After HFS(April 2015)	After HFS (April 2015)	
	1	1.26			
	2	1.27			
	3	1.25			
	4	1.22			
	5	1.34			
	6	1.18			
Aanhalt	7	1.23			
Aspilan	8	1.28			
	9	1.23			
	10	1.29	0.86	54.4	
	11	1.31	0.86	54.6	
	12	1.26	0.79	50.3	
	13	1.39	0.91	58	
	14	1.49	0.9	58.5	
	Mean	1.29	0.86	55.16	
Result	SD	0.076	0.042	2.957	
	COV (%)	5.91	4.89	5.36	

Ę

K-177 Southbound and I-70 Westbound On- Ramp (HFS placed in Sep, 2014)



I-70WB to K-1777 NB Ramp

Ļ



Ē

I-70 Westbound and K-177 Northbound Off- Ramp (HFS placed in Sep, 2014)

Pavement Type	3D laser MTD (mm)			Skid Number (Before HFS)			3D laser MTD (mm)			
	Section	Before HFS (June 2014)	After HFS (April 2015)	Grooved Tire (Jun 2014)	Smooth Tire (Jun 2014)	Pavement Type	Section	Before HFS (June 2014)	After HFS (April 2015)	
	F 00011	0.8013	1.0776		31.7	Concrete	F 00001	0.4980	0.9274	
	F 00012	0.9038	1.0401	43.53			F 00002	0.5584	1.0342	
	F 00013	1.1639	1.0570				F 00003	0.7757	1.0447	
	F 00014	1.1841	1.0773				F 00004	0.6228	0.9779	
Asphalt	F 00015	1.2460	1.1626				F 00005	0.6259	1.0433	
	F 00016	1.2231	1.1694				F 00006	0.7397	1.0480	
	F 00017	1.1029	1.1947				F 00007	0.7529	1.0426	
	F 00018	1.0939	1.5823				F 00008	0.6439		
	F 00019	1.0427	1.4853				F 00009	0.6551		
	Mean	1.08	1.21				F 00010	0.6481		
Result	SD	0.14	0.184				Mean	0.65	1.02	
	COV (%)	12.91	15.29			Result	SD	0.082	0.043	
							COV (%)	12.58	4.23	

Ę

I-70 Westbound and K-177 Northbound Off- Ramp (HFS placed in Sep, 2014)

Devement Type	Section	CTM MPD (mm)	$\begin{array}{c c} M MPD \\ mm \end{pmatrix} DFT (\mu) FN \end{array}$		Pavement	Section	CTM MPD (mm)
Pavement Type	(20 ft c/c)	After HFS	After HFS	After HFS	Туре	(20 ft c/c)	After HFS
		(April 2015)	(April 2015)	(April 2015)			(April 2015)
	1	1.35				1	1.43
	2	1.25				2	1.17
	3	1.15				3	1.48
	4	1.05				4	1.41
Acphalt	5	1.05			Conorata	5	1.27
Aspilati	б	1.25			Concrete	6	1.49
	7	1.33				7	1.46
	8	1.3	0.84	53.4		8	1.4
	9	1.33	0.81	52		9	1.32
	10	1.24	0.79	50.1		10	1.58
	Mean	1.23	0.81	51.83		Mean	1.40
Result	SD	0.106	0.021	1.352	Result	SD	0.113
	COV (%)	8.58	2.53	2.61		COV (%)	8.07

Ę

I-70 Westbound and K-177 Northbound Off- Ramp (HFS placed in Sep, 2014)





Results and Discussions

K-87 (With Chip Seal surface)

Surface True	Section	3D laser MTD	Skid N	umber	Section	CTM MPD (mm)	DFT (µ)	FN
Surface Type		(iiiii) (Jui 2015)	Grooved Tire (Jul 2015)	Smooth Tire (Jul 2015)	(25 ft c/c)	(Jul 2015)	(Jul 2015)	(Jul 2015)
	F00001	1.226		58.5	1	1.56	0.69	47
	F00002	1.252			2	1.43		
	F00003	1.182			3	1.44	0.69	46
	F00004	1.206			4	1.46		
	F00005	1.211			5	1.48	0.73	49
	F00006	1.167	68.37		6	1.47		
	F00007	1.238			7	1.57		
Chip Seal	F00013	1.267			13	1.64		
	F00014	1.25			14	1.47		
	F00015	1.264			15	1.52		
	F00016	1.237			16	1.42	0.71	47
	F00017	1.236			17	1.46		
	F00018	1.236			18	1.39	0.76	50
	F00019	1.243			19	1.57		
	F00020	1.17			20	1.48	0.79	52
	Mean	1.22	68.37	58.5	Mean	1.5	0.73	48.5
Result	SD	0.031	5.354	0.4	SD	0.076	0.037	2.062
	COV (%)	2.5	7.83	0.68	COV (%)	5.06	5.04	4.25

Results and Discussions

K-87 (With Chip Seal surface)



Ē

Relationship between Skid Number and Texture Depth



Conclusions

- -We are still evaluating using the LCMS data (MTDs) to determine if surface friction (based on texture) is adequate.
- -We continue to compare texture depth and μ values to the SNs and MTDs that we are already collecting.
- -We will still collect locked wheel skid data and LCMS (MTDs) while we learn if/how we can be more efficient in our collection.

- More roadway surface characteristics need to be analyzed to develop a valid correlation between MTD and SN









Surface Type







